Social Studies Inquiry Processes and Skills	Emerging (could look like anything up until these descriptors)	Developing	Proficient	Extending (could look like anything starting from to beyond descriptors)
Use Social Studies inquiry processes and skills to ask questions; gather, interpret, and analyze ideas and data; and communicate findings and decisions	Student can select a question to answer and/or ask yes/no questions. Student can communicate by sharing findings from class resources/ discussions.	Student can ask simple questions to gather information. Student can communicate by summarizing their findings.	Student can ask clear and open-ended questions to gather, interpret and assess relevant information. Student can communicate their findings clearly, explaining how the evidence answers their questions.	Student can ask complex open-ended questions to gather, interpret and evaluate relevant information. Student can communicate their findings clearly, and with sophistication, explaining how the evidence answers their questions.

EXAMPLES IN CONTEXT:

Descriptor

1. WWI Inquiry Project: Students develop a question for a topic of interest in relation to WWI, and answer it, addressing all aspects of the historical critical thinking concepts.

Example	Student generated question:	Student generated question:	Student generated question:	Student generated question:
	"Why didn't soldiers in WWI just eat whatever they wanted while in the trenches?"	"How did food get to the trenches during World War I?"	"What were the main challenges in supplying food to soldiers in the trenches during World War I, and how were	"How did logistical challenges and innovations in food supply chains impact the well-being and combat
	OR "Did WWI soldiers eat in the trenches?"	Question answered simplistically. Addresses some historical thinking	these challenges addressed?" Question answered	effectiveness of soldiers in World War I trenches?"
	Question Answered: Answers yes/no	criteria. Summarizes from source(s).	clearly. Each category (historical thinking criteria) answered.	Question answered thoroughly and thoughtfully. Each
	Answers in one-two sentences.		Evidence from multiple sources, properly formatted.	category (historical thinking criteria) answered. Evidence from multiple sources,
	Doesn't address historical thinking criteria. Shares information presented in class.			properly formatted. Student made an example of a trench meal to accompany her project.

Historical Significance	Emerging (could look like anything up until these descriptors)	Developing	Proficient	Extending (could look like anything starting from to beyond descriptors)
Assess the significance of people, places, events, or developments.	Student can identify in simple terms the significance of people, places, events, or developments.	Student can describe the significance of impact of people, places, events, or developments.	Student can assess the significance of people, places, events, or developments.	Student can evaluate the significance of people, places, events or developments.

EXAMPLE CONTEXT:

Descriptor

1. Research the significance of Canadian Prime Ministers in the 20th and 21st Century (Wilfrid Laurier, Robert Borden, Arthur Meighen, William Lyon Mackenzie King, R.B. Bennett, Louis St. Laurent, John Diefenbaker, Lester Pearson, Pierre Trudeau, Joe Clark, John Turner, Brian Mulroney, Kim Campbell, Jean Chrétien, Paul Martin, Stephen Harper, Justin Trudeau). **Develop biographical profiles for some of Canada's Prime Ministers from 1900 to present day.** Information should include: Achievements, Awards (if applicable), Rise to Power, Post-Prime Minister activities (if applicable), a short paragraph about each Prime Minister's significance in Canadian history.

Example	Student chooses Canadian prime ministers and lists key information about each in a document. Writes 1-2 sentences about how each	Student chooses Canadian prime ministers and lists points for each criterion on a poster. Writes a basic paragraph for each	Student chooses 5 Canadian prime ministers and creates a slide deck for each, covering detailed information for each criterion.	Student chooses 5 Canadian prime ministers and creates a curriculum vitae for each, detailing relevant information for each criterion.
	prime minister played a role in Canada's history.	prime minister outlining their influence on Canada during their leadership.	Writes a paragraph for each prime minister outlining how they contributed to Canadian history and the extent to which they influenced Canadian life during their leadership.	Writes an essay with a paragraph about how each prime minister contributed to Canadian history and their influence on Canadian life during their leadership. Makes connections between prime ministers and their impacts on Canada and draws conclusions about the significance of leadership for Canada.

Evidence	Emerging (could look like anything up until these descriptors)	Developing	Proficient	Extending (could look like anything starting from to beyond descriptors)	
Assess the justification for competing accounts after investigating points of contention, reliability of sources, and adequacy of evidence, including data	Student can recognize that there are different viewpoints or accounts of an event or issue. Student can identify that some sources or pieces of evidence support these viewpoints.	Student can identify competing accounts of an event or issue. Student can recognize that sources might be more or less reliable, and can identify some of the evidence or data supporting each account.	Student can assess competing accounts by considering the reliability of sources, and examining the evidence, including data, used to support each account. Student can explain why one account might be more justified than the other based on the strength of the evidence.	Student can evaluate competing accounts by considering the reliability of multiple sources, and analyzing the adequacy of the evidence and data in depth. Student can consider the broader context (such as bias or perspective) and explain how the different accounts might be shaped by these factors. Student can also offer a well-supported conclusion about the justification for each account, taking into account any limitations or gaps in the evidence.	
EXAMPLES IN CONTEXT: Descriptor 1. Research various political platforms, ad campaigns, and messaging from leader's debates to determine validity, reliability, use of logical fallacies, and bias.					
Example	Student shares out information heard in class. Identifies the political messages, but may be persuaded by propaganda tools.	Student can summarize multiple political messages. May miss bias and motives behind some of the messaging. Arguments and	Student analyzes various messages, fact-checks using multiple sources, and identifies fallacies, bias, and persuasive propaganda tools. Can identify underlying messages and motives.	Student evaluates a broad range of messages, fact-checks using multiple and diverse sources, and identifies fallacies, bias, and persuasive propaganda tools. Can insightfully identify	

evidence may be

confirmation bias.

influenced by

underlying messages and

conclusion about the use of evidence in political

motives to make a

campaigns.

Continuity and Change	Emerging (could look like anything up until these descriptors)	Developing	Proficient	Extending (could look like anything starting from to beyond descriptors)
Compare and contrast continuities and changes for different groups at particular times and places	Student can identify similarities and/or differences over time in one group.	Student can summarize similarities and differences over time/place for one or more group(s).	Student can examine two or more groups to analyze both their similarities and differences over time and between places. Student can explain the reasons behind these changes or continuities and analyze how different groups experienced them in different ways.	Student can analyze multiple groups to evaluate similarities and differences over time and between places, offering insights into the reasons behind shifts and continuities. Student can also analyze how different groups were affected by these changes or continuities in complex ways, considering multiple factors like culture, power, or geography.

EXAMPLES IN CONTEXT:

Descriptor

- 1. **Identify** two **continuities** and two **changes** for women during the late 19th and early 20th centuries (e.g., women's roles in the home, in education, in the workforce, etc.).
- 2. **Compare and contrast** how the **Women's Rights Movement** affected Canadian women differently (e.g., based on economic status, race, ethnicity, etc).
- 3. **Explain** why some groups experienced more rapid change than others in terms of women's rights.

one continuity and/or change for two differences for continuities and two continuities and two changes for women in assignment with fluences.					
time period. that the Women's Rights Movement impacted women differently, with limited evidence as to why. to compare at least two different groups of women (from different backgrounds, social classes, regions etc.). Student makes a to compare at least two different groups of women (from different groups of women. Clearly articulate why some Canadian women	Example	one continuity and/or change for women over this	two continuities and two differences for women. Can identify that the Women's Rights Movement impacted women differently, with limited evidence as to	continuities and two changes for women in this time period in order to compare at least two different groups of women (from different backgrounds, social classes, regions etc.). Student makes a reasoned conclusion about why some groups of women experienced more rapid change than	assignment with fluency and accuracy. Identifies more than two groups of women. Clearly articulate why some Canadian women experience change faster

Cause and Consequence	Emerging (could look like anything up until these descriptors)	Developing	Proficient	Extending (could look like anything starting from to beyond descriptors)
Assess how underlying conditions and the actions of individuals or groups influence events, decisions, or developments, and analyze multiple consequences	Student can select, match, or identify cause(s) that influence events, decisions, or developments. Student can select, match, or identify consequence(s).	Student can summarize causes that influence events, decisions, or developments. Student can summarize consequence(s) and identify consequences as either immediate or long-term.	Student can assess causes, including how underlying conditions and actions of individuals or groups influence events, decisions, or developments. Student can assess multiple consequences by considering immediate and/or long-term impacts and can consider different groups or societies.	Student can evaluate causes, including how complex underlying conditions (including multiple factors like cultural, economic, or political forces) and the actions of individuals or groups shaped events, decisions, or developments. Student can evaluate a wide range of consequences, by considering both immediate and long-term impacts on different groups or societies.

EXAMPLES IN CONTEXT:

Descriptor

- 1. Describe the social, economic, and political climate that led to the rise of one or more Totalitarian leader(s) in Germany, Italy, Soviet Union, and Japan prior to WWII.
- 2. Describe the role of one or more of these leader(s) during WWII, including actions taken by each leader, what led to these actions (causes), and what were the impacts (consequences).

Example	Student assembles a simple poster or slideshow presentation. This project identifies a totalitarian leader, such as Adolf Hitler, and the country they led, mentioning basic actions taken during WWII, like the invasion of	Student produces a poster, podcast, or essay. Their work summarizes underlying conditions in Germany, such as economic hardship and political instability, that contributed to Hitler's rise. They summarize actions taken by Hitler during WWII, like the implementation of Blitzkrieg tactics, and	Student develops a comprehensive podcast series or documentary. This project assesses social, political, and economic factors in Italy that facilitated Mussolini's rise, analyzes his military campaigns during WWII, and explains multiple consequences, including impacts on Italian	Student creates an in-depth classroom lesson or interactive website. Their project critically analyzes complex cultural, economic, and political factors leading to the rise of multiple totalitarian leaders, such as Stalin in the Soviet Union and Hirohito in Japan. They
---------	--	--	---	---

Poland, and recognizing that these actions had significant results, such as starting the war.	identify at least one consequence, such as the occupation of France.	society and military failures.	evaluate these leaders' roles and decisions during WWII, considering both immediate and long-term impacts on various societal groups.
---	--	--------------------------------	---

Perspective	Emerging (could look like anything up until these descriptors)	Developing	Proficient	Extending (could look like anything starting from to beyond descriptors)	
Explain and infer different perspectives on past or present people, places, issues, or events by considering prevailing norms, values, worldviews, and beliefs.	Student can identify basic perspectives on people, places, issues, or events	Student can summarize a viewpoint on people, places, issues, or events, and recognize some factors like values (what's important to people), beliefs (what they think is true), and worldviews (how they see the world)	Student can assess and infer different perspectives on people, places, issues, or events, considering how people's values, beliefs, and worldviews (the way they see the world) influence their opinions or actions.	Student can evaluate and compare multiple perspectives on people, places, issues, or events, offering insights into how different values, beliefs, and worldviews lead to different understandings or actions. Student can also reflect on how these views might change over time or in different cultures.	
EXAMPLES IN CONTEXT: Descriptor					
•					

cudent writes a	Student writes a	Student writes newspaper	Student writes
ewspaper article	newspaper article about	article arguing for or	newspaper article that
utlining the policy of	Chamberlain's policy of	against Chamberlain's	argues for or against
opeasement with	appeasement, but doesn't	policy of appeasement,	Chamberlain's policy of
mited discussion of	take a definitive side and	citing contemporary	appeasement, citing
ne debate	discusses the event	perspectives and values.	contemporary
urrounding it. Does	without fully considering	Student acknowledges	perspectives and values
ot consider	contemporary	both sides of the debate	in a nuanced way.
ontemporary	perspectives and values.	and provides a thoughtful	Student analyzes both
erspectives or		rationale.	sides of the debate and
alues.	"Prime Minister		provides critical
	Chamberlain's decision to	"Prime Minister Neville	rationale.
Prime Minister	sign the Munich	Chamberlain's decision to	
hamberlain agreed	Agreement was meant to	sign the Munich	"In a move that has
let Germany take	keep peace in Europe by	Agreement, ceding the	sparked intense debate,
art of	giving Germany the	Sudetenland to Germany,	Prime Minister Neville
zechoslovakia. He	Sudetenland. Many people	is rooted in a desire to	Chamberlain has signed
	atlining the policy of opeasement with nited discussion of e debate arrounding it. Does of consider ontemporary erspectives or lues. Trime Minister namberlain agreed let Germany take art of	chamberlain's policy of appeasement with inited discussion of e debate arrounding it. Does of consider appeasement, but doesn't take a definitive side and discusses the event without fully considering contemporary perspectives and values. The Minister armberlain agreed appeasement, but doesn't take a definitive side and discusses the event without fully considering contemporary perspectives and values. The Minister appeasement, but doesn't take a definitive side and discusses the event without fully considering contemporary perspectives and values. The Minister appeasement appeasement, but doesn't take a definitive side and discusses the event without fully considering contemporary perspectives and values. The Minister appeasement appeasement, but doesn't take a definitive side and discusses the event without fully considering contemporary perspectives and values. The Minister appeasement was meant to keep peace in Europe by giving Germany the	against Chamberlain's policy of appeasement, but doesn't take a definitive side and discussion of e debate discusses the event without fully considering contemporary perspectives and values. Trounding it. Does of the debate contemporary perspectives and values. Trounding it. Does of the debate discusses the event without fully considering contemporary perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event was meant to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event perspectives and values. The Minister Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich discusses the event discusses the event discu

thought this would keep peace. Some people think it's good because it avoids war, but others worry it might make Germany more aggressive." supported this because they wanted to avoid another war like World War I. However, some critics believed that giving in to Hitler's demands could lead to more aggression in the future. They thought that if Hitler got what he wanted without any resistance, he might feel encouraged to take over more countries. This could make Europe even more unstable and dangerous."

maintain peace in Europe. By appeasing Hitler's demands, Chamberlain aims to prevent the outbreak of another devastating conflict. While this approach has garnered support from those traumatized by World War I, it has also faced criticism. Opponents fear that conceding to Hitler's territorial ambitions may embolden the Nazi regime, potentially leading to greater threats in the future."

"Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain's decision to sign the Munich Agreement, allowing Germany to annex the Sudetenland, is a calculated effort to maintain peace in Europe. By conceding to some of Hitler's demands, Chamberlain aims to satisfy Germany's grievances, thereby preventing the escalation of tensions into a full-scale war. This approach reflects the widespread desire to avoid a repeat of the horrors experienced during World War I. While some critics argue that this concession may embolden further aggression, supporters believe that addressing reasonable demands through diplomatic means is a prudent strategy to ensure the Munich Agreement, effectively ceding the Sudetenland region of Czechoslovakia to Adolf Hitler's Germany. Chamberlain's policy of appeasement is driven by a fervent desire to maintain peace in Europe, reflecting the collective trauma and aversion to conflict stemming from the Great War. Supporters argue that this concession will satiate Hitler's expansionist appetite, thereby averting another catastrophic war. However, critics contend that this act of capitulation not only undermines Czechoslovakia's sovereignty but also sets a dangerous precedent, potentially emboldening the Nazi regime to pursue further territorial acquisitions. The ethical implications of sacrificing a nation's autonomy for the promise of peace raise profound questions about the moral responsibilities of democratic nations in the face of authoritarian

"In a move aimed at preserving European stability, Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain has endorsed the Munich Agreement, permitting the annexation of the

aggression."

	and the control of	Cudatanlan U
	stability and peace."	Sudetenland by
		Germany. This policy of
		appeasement is
		grounded in the belief
		that accommodating
		Germany's territorial
		claims, perceived by
		some as rectifications of
		the Treaty of Versailles'
		injustices, will satiate its
		expansionist appetite
		and avert the
		catastrophe of another
		world war. Chamberlain's
		strategy is informed by
		the collective trauma of
		World War I, with its
		profound loss of life and
		economic turmoil, and a
		recognition of Britain's
		current military
		unpreparedness for a
		large-scale conflict. By
		opting for diplomatic
		concessions,
		Chamberlain seeks to
		buy crucial time for
		rearmament and to
		foster a broader peace
		settlement. While
		detractors warn that
		such concessions may
		encourage further
		demands, proponents
		argue that this measured
		approach addresses
		legitimate grievances
		and reflects a realistic
		assessment of Britain's
		immediate capabilities
		and the overarching
		desire for peace."

Ethical Judgment	Emerging (could look like anything up until these descriptors)	Developing	Proficient	Extending (could look like anything starting from to beyond descriptors)
Make reasoned ethical judgments about actions in the past and present, and assess appropriate ways to remember and respond	Student can select their ethical judgements and ways to remember and respond.	Student can report their ethical judgements in and identify ways to remember and respond.	Student can provide a detailed rationale for their ethical judgements and assess appropriate ways to remember and respond.	Student can debate their ethical judgements and critically evaluate appropriate ways to remember and respond.

EXAMPLES IN CONTEXT:

Descriptor

- 1. Research political platforms and conclude political views/leanings
- 2. Make ethical judgements about past political figures and determine appropriate actions involving past monuments, memorials, toponyms (place names, etc). Example: should Sir John A. Macdonald Elementary School(s) be renamed?
- 3. Analyzing conflict and determine appropriate response
- 4. Analyze past historical wrongs and determine appropriate response and/or measure government response

Example	Student shares ideas that have been presented in class or through research.	Student identifies the issue and outlines opinion/ethical judgement. Outlines a course of action.	Student details the issues with the political figure and proposes an alternative course of action (eg. awareness raising, change name,	Student details the issues with and larger historical context of the political figure and proposes an actionable project that
	Identifies aspects of John A.	Identifies aspects of John A. Macdonald's	etc).	will acknowledge values of various
	Macdonald's legacy.	legacy (ie. role in establishing the residential school	Details the negative aspects of John A. Macdonald's policies	stakeholders in the community
		system, or contribution towards confederation). Outlines opinion and proposed course of	(particularly in relation to Indigenous Peoples and establishing the residential school	Details the negative aspects of John A. Macdonald's policies (particularly in relation
		action.	system), and contributions towards confederation (ie. why was the school named after him to begin	to Indigenous Peoples and establishing the residential school system), and the contributions he made

	with?). Creates an argument supporting a course of action (eg. name change). Highlights the lasting impaction and larger his context. Created detailed argus supporting a action that acknowledge of various stain the comm what name of why, how is a significant to Indigenous Pland how does towards record align with coaction).
--	---